

## **Omaha WWTP Consultative Group**

## Agenda and meeting actions #6

PROJECT: **Omaha WWTP Consultative Group** 

DATE: 13/06/2015

**REPORT** VS

PREPARED BY: **ATTACHMENTS:** 

Present	Apology	Сору	Name		
	$\boxtimes$		Bill Tucker		
	$\boxtimes$		David Stone (Omaha Shorebirds Protection Trust)		
	$\boxtimes$		Dean Grice		
	$\boxtimes$		Denis O'Callahan		
$\boxtimes$			Elizabeth Foster (Whangateau Harbourcar	e Group)	
$\boxtimes$			Fiona McKenzie (Manuhiri Kaitiaki Charital	ole Trust)	
$\boxtimes$			Graham Painter (Omaha Beach Communit	y)	
$\boxtimes$			Hugh McKergow (Whangateau Residents	& Ratepayers)	
$\boxtimes$			Ian McDonald (Whangateau Harbourcare	Group)	
	$\boxtimes$		Ines Curin (Point Wells Community & Rate	Ines Curin (Point Wells Community & Ratepayers)	
	$\boxtimes$		John Cranston		
	$\boxtimes$		John Laurence		
$\boxtimes$			John Linton (Omaha Beach Golf Club)	John Linton (Omaha Beach Golf Club)	
$\boxtimes$			Keith McSporran (Omaha Shorebirds Protection Trust)		
$\boxtimes$			Mark Barnett		
	$\boxtimes$		Mike Bradbury (Point Wells Community & Ratepayers)		
$\boxtimes$			Neville Johnson (Matakana Community Group)		
	$\boxtimes$		Noelene Cranston		
	$\boxtimes$		Raewyn Morrison (Forest & Bird Mid North Branch)		
$\boxtimes$			Richard Muir		
	$\boxtimes$		Roger Grace (Whangateau Harbourcare Group)		
	$\boxtimes$		Theo Verryt		
	$\boxtimes$		Trish Allen		
		$\boxtimes$	Richard Brabant (Omaha Beach Golf Club)		
		$\boxtimes$	David Wilson (Department of Conservation)		
	$\boxtimes$		Megan Beard (Auckland Council)		
	$\boxtimes$		Chrissy Henley (Auckland Council)		
			Mark James (Aquatic Environmental Sciences)		
			Phil Mitchell (Mitchell Partnerships)		
			Andre Stuart (Watercare)		
			Vila Souvannavong (Watercare)		
			Nicholas Woodley (Watercare)		
	$\boxtimes$		Michael Stewart (Streamlined Environment	al)	
Agenda/A	Actions			Responsibility	Due by

Agenda/Actions	Responsibility	Due by
Introduction and Updates	Nicholas Woodley	
Due to the length of time since the last meeting on 28 February, Watercare briefly ran through the minutes from that previous meeting as a refresher. There was also a separate meeting with some Consultative Group members on 26 March, and the outcomes from that were also briefly run through.		
Updates on previous matters included:		
<ul> <li>Septic tanks: Sampling has been extended to Quintal Road bridge.</li> <li>Wastewater is treated in ponds and then discharged to land.</li> <li>Copies of consents have been obtained from Auckland Council.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Watercare are holding off another year to clear the pines behind the Omaha WWTP site</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Two control sites (monitoring bores) have been added at the northern golf course area</li> </ul>		
Investigation Plan Progress Presentation		
investigation Fian Frogress Fresentation	Mark James	
Mark James gave a presentation, first briefly going over the background of the project and outcomes of Stage 1 of the investigation plan again, followed by updates of Stage 2 of the investigation plan. This included the following:		
Groundwater		
<ul> <li>The installation of 13 new bores would be finished as of today (Saturday 13/6).</li> <li>This excludes the bores located on DOC land which Watercare are still waiting on approval for.</li> </ul>		
There is high organic matter / peat in some of these bore sites		
<ul> <li>Nicholas showed to the Consultative Group, two river stones which were found 11m deep below the sand dunes, during the drilling at MS06 site. This could be indicative of an ancient river and high permeability in the area.</li> </ul>		
Nutrient Processes and Loadings		
Denitrifying and nitrification assays were undertaken to help complete analyses of nutrient loadings to the Harbour.		
In the past, only literature values had been used		
<ul> <li>A question was raised as to whether this covered phosphorus.</li> <li>Mark confirmed that it does.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Samples from new bores will complete the analyses</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>The "Omaha Beach Nitrogen Accounting Model" schematic has been completed and was shown to the group.</li> </ul>		
Microbial and Contaminants		
NIWA are carrying out a microbial assessment for Watercare. They are currently collating and reviewing existing data.		
Sampling of the WWTP inflow and UV treated effluent is about to start weekly for 5 weeks, which covers various analytes		
<ul> <li>Watercare are currently reviewing this and may only look at</li> </ul>		

Agenda/Ac	tions	Responsibility	Due by
	a few – the sampling is expensive		
comp	s discussed amongst the group that it would be good to pare the sampling measurements against another sizeable TP for context. Watercare's Mangere WWTP or one overseas?		
C	Norovirus is not measured at Mangere WWTP		
C	Virus information for Mangere WWTP will be provided at the next meeting	Andre Stuart	
	rging contaminants are covered in Michael Stewart's entation to follow		
Wetlands / F	orests		
<ul> <li>Little</li> </ul>	information was available so a survey was conducted to red vegetation and fauna – Wildlands report is up on the project		
	alts included no indications of poor plant health, likely nitrogened – which means the forest can take up more nitrogen		
C	There would be weed species for example, if there was too much nitrogen		
• No s	urface water in forest/wetlands during the summer		
C	Site visit during the winter – it was commented by the Consultative Group that it's very different in winter with a lot of surface water		
<ul> <li>Furth effect</li> </ul>	ner information on groundwater/nutrients required to assess		
Hydrodynan	niee.		
• The l	nydrodynamic model has been completed to show the ement of particles		
C	This is theoretical, and a "particle" is to mean a drop of water or a molecule of nutrient		
	cle concentrations during a 7 day period are ecologically nificant as you move out of the harbour – you wouldn't really of it		
• Stag	e 3 will run the model with realistic nutrient inputs		
Water Qualit	ry Surface Waters		
• Sam	pling has continued at Ti Point, the causeway, and drains, for collation		
C	12 months of data has been obtained from the causeway, and 6 months of data from the drains		
C	Longer time series is needed		
<ul> <li>A fev</li> </ul>	v extra sampling points have been recently added		
	s from drains HA1 and HA11 end up at WQ4, this is not a ol site.		
Benthic Hab	itats		

Agen	da/Actions	Responsibility	Due by
•	Question raised in relation to mangroves: Does rising sedimentation match sea level rises?		
•	Removal of mangroves: Resource consent required?		
	<ul> <li>Some Consultative Group members advised it's allowed for certain areas, and for seedlings up to 40cm – pulled out by hand or snipped</li> </ul>		
Fish a	nd Birdlife		
•	Bird survey undertaken in March 2015		
•	16 indigenous birds observed		
•	Good habitat but mangroves are expanding		
Stage	3 Work Plan		
•	Forestry management strategies – Initial discussions with Scion Forestry Research; Require nutrient and water balances		
•	Prepare assessment of effects		
End -	Questions		
•	Sampling on the beach? / Tag any other nutrients which may end up on the beach? (Looked at movement of groundwater of spit to harbour but not to beach)		
	<ul> <li>Extra sampling sites have been added which includes groundwater and surface water at the beach</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Indicators: Algae growth, during low tide as nutrients come in from the land and stream flows; no sea lettuce present (high nitrogen indicative) which causes problems, therefore a "healthy harbour".</li> </ul>		
•	Contaminants from Point Wells? Septic tank leaching, E.coli		
	<ul> <li>Sampling is being carried out</li> </ul>		
•	Micro-beads (from soaps, moisturisers) / nanoparticles – Does the system filter it? Something to consider as part of emerging contaminants for assessment.		
	<ul> <li>Nanoparticles are covered in Michael Stewart's presentation</li> </ul>		
Emerg	ing Contaminants Presentation	Michael Stewart/	
literatu receivi	nlined Environmental were commissioned to assess available re and confirm a list of emerging contaminants to look at for the ng environment of the Whangateau Harbour. The outcome of this then be presented to the Consultative Group.	Mark James	
	nael Stewart's absence, Mark James gave this presentation, which ed the following discussion points:		
•	Emerging contaminants can be diffuse or point source		
	<ul> <li>Wastewater treatment plants and pipeline discharges are major points sources</li> </ul>		
•	Tremblay and Northcott's report of <b>72</b> emerging contaminants for wastewater monitoring is too large and not representative enough		

Agenda/Actions	Responsibility	Due by
("T&N List")		
<ul> <li>After a risk-based assessment was performed, a high-risk priority list of 39 emerging contaminants was then confirmed ("Focused List")</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>These two T&amp;N and Focused lists were then validated and combined (through assessment of NZ relevance and literature) to make an "Initial List" of 41 emerging contaminants</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>The "Final List" of 26 emerging contaminants is what Streamlined Environmental recommends to the Consultative Group for monitoring.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>This is an international and local list</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>There are no NZ recognised standards (central government issue)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>There needs to be a national approach</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Auckland Council should have a review mechanism included in the consent application process</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>A harbour list rather than a wastewater list would be ideal to give a snapshot of the harbour. Wider scope.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Approximately \$5k per round (not sample) to measure analytes. We need to reduce this list.</li> <li>Even more (approx. \$7k) to measure a virus, so we have to make sure it will be present for monitoring</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Environmental risk, for example fish reproduction</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Analytical laboratory capability for recommended list is in the progress of being finalised. Michael is to discuss these results at a future Consultative Group meeting.</li> </ul>	Michael Stewart	
<ul> <li>Next steps are to measure emerging contaminants in Omaha WWTP effluent, assess potential risks to receiving environment, and decide on a pragmatic way forward based on these results</li> </ul>		
Other Questions		
<ul> <li>Progress on consent application?</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>It has been lodged and placed on hold while this investigative work is going on. Once results are completed we can then progress.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Operating under an expired consent but the RMA allows this</li> </ul>		
Next Steps		
Overall, good progress has been made.		
The next meeting is scheduled for Saturday 29 August.	All	
This will be an overview of the completed reports, which will be available for access on the project website.	All	
Nicholas to send an email reminder of the information going up on the website so the Group can look through these before the next meeting.	Nicholas Woodley	